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## Methodology

The survey findings presented in this release are based on the results of interviews conducted January 18-24, 2010. The interviews were conducted at the Center for Opinion Research at Franklin \& Marshall College under the direction of the poll’s Director Dr. G. Terry Madonna, Head Methodologist Berwood Yost, and Project Manager Jennifer Harding. The data included in this release represent the responses of 1165 adult residents of Pennsylvania, including 993 registered adults. Telephone numbers for the survey were generated using random digit dialing, and respondents were randomly selected from within each household. Survey results were weighted (age, education, race, region, and gender) using an iterative weighting algorithm.

The sample error for this survey is +/- 2.9 percentage points. The sample error for registered adults is +/- 3.1 percentage points and is slightly higher for registered Democrats (+/- 4.7 percentage points) and registered Republicans (+/- 4.8 percentage points). In addition to sampling error, this poll is also subject to other sources of non-sampling error. Generally speaking, two sources of error concern
researchers most. Non-response bias is created when selected participants either choose not to participate in the survey or are unavailable for interviewing. Response errors are the product of the question and answer process. Surveys that rely on selfreported behaviors and attitudes are susceptible to biases related to the way respondents process and respond to survey questions.

The Franklin \& Marshall College Poll is produced in conjunction with the Philadelphia Daily News, WGAL-TV (South Central PA), Pittsburgh Tribune Review, WTAE-TV (Pittsburgh), WPVI-TV6/ABC (Philadelphia), Times-Shamrock Newspapers, Harrisburg Patriot-News, and Lancaster Newspapers. It may be used in whole or in part, provided any use is attributed to Franklin \& Marshall College.

## Key Findings

The January 2010 Franklin \& Marshall College Poll finds that
Pennsylvanians are still paying little attention to the primary races for governor and U.S. senator and that the candidates in those races remain largely unknown to the state's voters. It also finds that Republican Pat Toomey has a decided advantage over both Democratic candidates in the race for U.S. Senate.

## The Race for Pennsylvania Governor

About seven in ten registered Pennsylvanians still don’t know who they plan to vote for in the state's gubernatorial primaries. Allegheny County Executive Dan Onorato leads the field of Democrats in the governor's race, but only one in ten (10\%) registered Democrats plan to vote for him; seven in ten (72\%) say they are undecided. On the Republican side, State Attorney General Tom Corbett receives about one in four votes (23\%), but seven in ten (69\%) Republicans are undecided at the moment (see Table 1).

## Table 1. Pennsylvania Gubernatorial Primary Preferences

If the 2010 Democratic/Republican primary election for GOVERNOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) [fill candidates], would you vote for [fill candidates], some other candidate, or aren't you sure how you would vote?

| Democrats ( $\boldsymbol{n}=\mathbf{4 4 3}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dan Onorato | $10 \%$ |
| Jack Wagner | $4 \%$ |
| Chris Doherty | $4 \%$ |
| Joe Hoeffel | $4 \%$ |
| Tom Knox | $2 \%$ |
| Other | $4 \%$ |
| Don't know | $72 \%$ |
| Republicans ( $\boldsymbol{n}=416$ ) |  |
| Tom Corbett | $23 \%$ |
| Sam Rohrer | $5 \%$ |
| Other | $3 \%$ |
| Don't know | $69 \%$ |

## The Race for U.S. Senate

The Democratic primary for U.S. Senate has fewer undecided voters, although half (50\%) of Democrats have yet to make a choice in this race. At the moment, Arlen Specter is favored by about one in three (30\%) Democrats.

Republican Pat Toomey has a decided advantage over both Democratic candidates in the general election race for U.S. Senate. Incumbent Senator Arlen Specter and Republican challenger Pat Toomey each receive 30 percent of the vote among all registered adults, but Toomey's advantage is much larger if a respondent's likelihood of voting is considered (see Figure 1). Toomey leads Specter 45\% to 31\% among those most likely to vote in November. Toomey leads U.S. Representative Joe Sestak 28\% to 16\% among all registered adults, but has a much larger margin among likely voters, $41 \%$ to $19 \%$. Both Pat Toomey and Joe Sestak remain largely unknown to the state's electorate-a majority of registered Pennsylvanians say they don't know enough about Pat Toomey (65\%) and Joe Sestak (76\%) to have an opinion.

Figure 1. 2010 Pennsylvania U.S. Senate Election Preferences
If the 2010 election for U.S. SENATOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Arlen
Specter/Joe Sestak, the Democrat, and Pat Toomey, the Republican, would you vote for Arlen Specter/Joe
Sestak, Pat Toomey, some other candidate, or aren't you sure how you would vote? Sestak, Pat Toomey, some other candidate, or aren't you sure how you would vote?


Likely voters
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The pattern of support for Toomey among likely voters is mostly consistent regardless of his challenger (see Tables A-1 and A-2). Republican voters overwhelmingly favor him against both opponents, while his opponents’ support among Democratic voters is much weaker. Toomey also has large leads among white voters, born again Christians, and residents of Central and Western Pennsylvania.

## Arlen Specter

To win re-election, Arlen Specter must improve his image among the state's electorate. Only one in three (34\%) registered Pennsylvanians say he is doing an excellent or good job as U.S. senator, and even fewer (29\%) believe he has done a good enough job as senator to deserve re-election. These ratings are even lower among the current pool of likely voters. Senator Specter's switch from the Republican to the Democratic party and his vote for healthcare reform helped him with Democrats and hurt him among Republicans, not surprisingly. His choices also appear to have hurt him among registered independents (see Table 2).

Table 2. Effect of Arlen Specter's Choices on Election

```
Would you say that you are more likely or less likely to vote for Senator Specter because he switched his party registration from Republican to Democrat?
Would you say that you are more likely or less likely to vote for Senator Specter because he voted for the president's healthcare plan?
```

|  | Likelihood of voting for Specter | Republican | Democrat | Independent/ Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Effect of switching party registration | Much more likely | 3\% | 15\% | 5\% |
|  | Somewhat more likely | 3\% | 17\% | 3\% |
|  | Somewhat less likely | 11\% | 12\% | 15\% |
|  | Much less likely | 46\% | 10\% | 25\% |
|  | Made no difference | 32\% | 40\% | 50\% |
|  | Don't know | 3\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| Effect of voting for healthcare plan | Much more likely | 5\% | 23\% | 19\% |
|  | Somewhat more likely | 8\% | 18\% | 9\% |
|  | Somewhat less likely | 13\% | 9\% | 14\% |
|  | Much less likely | 53\% | 13\% | 22\% |
|  | Made no difference | 17\% | 26\% | 33\% |
|  | Don't know | 4\% | 10\% | 4\% |

At the moment it looks as though maintaining Arlen Specter's U.S. Senate seat will be a difficult task for Democrats. The outcome of this campaign will largely be determined by two factors that we will follow throughout the campaign. The first and most obvious is whether Democrats can match the Republicans’ enthusiasm; at the moment half (47\%) of registered Republicans are likely to vote in November compared to only about one in three (35\%) Democrats. The second is how Pat Toomey’s image changes as he becomes better known. Currently his favorable to unfavorable ratings are $15 \%$ to $7 \%$ and holding steady, but how that ratio changes during the course of the campaign may ultimately determine the outcome of the race.

## Table A-1

If the 2010 election for U.S. SENATOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Arlen Specter, the Democrat, and Pat Toomey, the Republican, would you vote for Arlen Specter, Pat Toomey, some other candidate, or aren't you sure how you would vote?

Likely voters only, $n=395$

|  | Specter | Toomey | Other | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 34\% | 43\% | 3\% | 20\% |
| Male | 28\% | 48\% | 5\% | 20\% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | 26\% | 44\% | 10\% | 20\% |
| 35-54 | 31\% | 47\% | 3\% | 20\% |
| 55 and over | 34\% | 43\% | 2\% | 20\% |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| High school or less | 29\% | 48\% | 2\% | 21\% |
| Some college | 33\% | 38\% | 9\% | 21\% |
| College degree | 33\% | 47\% | 1\% | 19\% |
| Household Income |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$35,000 | 37\% | 44\% | 3\% | 16\% |
| \$35-75,000 | 31\% | 48\% | 3\% | 19\% |
| Over \$75,000 | 30\% | 43\% | 4\% | 23\% |
| Race* |  |  |  |  |
| Non-white | 57\% | 7\% | 7\% | 29\% |
| White | 28\% | 50\% | 3\% | 19\% |
| Marital Status* |  |  |  |  |
| Single, never married | 48\% | 30\% | 5\% | 18\% |
| Not currently married | 40\% | 41\% | 2\% | 17\% |
| Married | 25\% | 50\% | 4\% | 22\% |
| Religious Affiliation* |  |  |  |  |
| Other/unaffiliated | 45\% | 24\% | 7\% | 25\% |
| Protestant | 27\% | 55\% | 3\% | 15\% |
| Catholic | 25\% | 51\% | 1\% | 23\% |
| Born Again Christian or Fundamentalist* |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 22\% | 52\% | 3\% | 24\% |
| No | 38\% | 41\% | 4\% | 17\% |
| Household Union Member |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 30\% | 42\% | 5\% | 23\% |
| No | 32\% | 46\% | 3\% | 19\% |
| Military Veteran |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 26\% | 45\% | 3\% | 25\% |
| No | 32\% | 45\% | 4\% | 19\% |
| Region* |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia/Southeast | 41\% | 34\% | 3\% | 21\% |
| Northeast | 41\% | 32\% | 7\% | 20\% |
| Allegheny/Southwest | 27\% | 54\% | 0\% | 20\% |
| Northwest | 23\% | 43\% | 5\% | 28\% |
| Central | 22\% | 58\% | 5\% | 15\% |
| Party Registration* |  |  |  |  |
| Democrat | 56\% | 19\% | 4\% | 22\% |
| Independent/Other | 32\% | 24\% | 12\% | 31\% |
| Republican | 12\% | 71\% | 2\% | 15\% |

* Significant differences (p<.05)


## Table A-2

If the 2010 election for U.S. SENATOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Joe Sestak, the Democrat, and Pat Toomey, the Republican, would you vote for Joe Sestak, Pat Toomey, some other candidate, or aren't you sure how you would vote?

Likely voters only, n = 395

|  | Sestak | Toomey | Other | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 20\% | 44\% | 4\% | 33\% |
| Female | 18\% | 39\% | 2\% | 41\% |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | 17\% | 54\% | 5\% | 25\% |
| 35-54 | 19\% | 40\% | 2\% | 39\% |
| 55 and over | 20\% | 38\% | 3\% | 40\% |
| Education* |  |  |  |  |
| High school or less | 13\% | 41\% | 2\% | 44\% |
| Some college | 28\% | 28\% | 7\% | 38\% |
| College degree | 21\% | 50\% | 2\% | 27\% |
| Household Income |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$35,000 | 18\% | 41\% | 1\% | 39\% |
| \$35-75,000 | 14\% | 39\% | 5\% | 43\% |
| Over \$75,000 | 24\% | 43\% | 2\% | 31\% |
| Race* |  |  |  |  |
| Non-white | 35\% | 8\% | 6\% | 52\% |
| White | 17\% | 46\% | 3\% | 35\% |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |
| Single, never married | 30\% | 36\% | 5\% | 29\% |
| Not currently married | 24\% | 35\% | 3\% | 38\% |
| Married | 15\% | 44\% | 2\% | 39\% |
| Religious Affiliation* |  |  |  |  |
| Other/unaffiliated | 28\% | 22\% | 6\% | 45\% |
| Catholic | 16\% | 47\% | 3\% | 34\% |
| Protestant | 15\% | 50\% | 1\% | 35\% |
| Born Again Christian or Fundamentalist* |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 11\% | 46\% | 2\% | 41\% |
| No | 24\% | 39\% | 4\% | 34\% |
| Household Union Member |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 24\% | 36\% | 2\% | 39\% |
| No | 17\% | 43\% | 3\% | 37\% |
| Military Veteran |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 17\% | 44\% | 5\% | 34\% |
| No | 19\% | 41\% | 3\% | 38\% |
| Region* |  |  |  |  |
| Philadelphia/Southeast | 33\% | 35\% | 2\% | 30\% |
| Northeast | 21\% | 27\% | 9\% | 43\% |
| Allegheny/Southwest | 14\% | 47\% | 1\% | 39\% |
| Central | 8\% | 46\% | 5\% | 41\% |
| Northwest | 5\% | 50\% | 0\% | 45\% |
| Party Registration* |  |  |  |  |
| Democrat | 39\% | 13\% | 1\% | 47\% |
| Independent/Other | 21\% | 29\% | 12\% | 38\% |
| Republican | 3\% | 67\% | 3\% | 28\% |

* Significant differences (p<.05)


## Marginal Frequency Report

RightDir. All in all, do you think things in PENNSYLVANIA are generally headed in the RIGHT DIRECTION, or do you feel that things are off on the WRONG TRACK?

|  | Headed in <br> right direction | Off on the <br> wrong track | Don't know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $32 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $33 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $44 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $48 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Feb 2009 | $40 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Aug 2007 | $47 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Jun 2007 | $42 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Feb 2007 | $46 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Oct 2006* | $47 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Sep 2006* | $52 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Aug 2006* | $50 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Feb 2006 | $45 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Nov 2005 | $39 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Sep 2005 | $46 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Jun 2005 | $43 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Mar 2005 | $50 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Oct 2004* | $48 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Sep 2004* | $46 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Aug 2004* | $43 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Mar 2004* | $33 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Feb 2004 | $37 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Nov 2003 | $45 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Apr 2003 | $55 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Sep 2002* | $52 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Jun 2002* | $55 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Jul 1999 | $64 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Sep 1998* | $67 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Jul 1998 | $60 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Mar 1998 | $65 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Oct 1996 | $49 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Sep 1996* | $49 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Jul 1996 | $41 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Apr 1995 | $52 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $17 \%$ |

*Question asked of registered respondents only

FinToday. We are interested in how people are getting along financially these days. Would you say that YOU and YOUR FAMILY are better off, worse off, or about the same financially as you were a year ago?

|  | Better off | Worse off | About the <br> same | Don't know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $8 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $9 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $11 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $11 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Feb 2009 | $10 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Oct 2008* | $14 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sep 2008* | $12 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Aug 2008* | $16 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Feb 2008* | $20 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Jan 2008* | $17 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Nov 2005 | $20 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Sep 2005 | $17 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Jun 2005 | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Mar 2005 | $21 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Nov 2003 | $17 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Apr 2003 | $16 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sep 2002* | $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Jun 2002* | $29 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Jul 1999 | $31 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Jul 1998 | $31 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Mar 1998 | $31 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Jul 1996 | $21 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Feb 1996 | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Apr 1995 | $26 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

*Question asked of registered respondents only

FinFut. Now looking AHEAD, do you think that A YEAR FROM NOW, YOU and YOUR FAMILY will be better off financially than you are now, worse off, or about the same as you are now?

|  | Better off | Worse off | About the <br> same | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $31 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $31 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $32 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $27 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Feb 2009 | $29 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Oct 2008* | $33 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Sep 2008* | $25 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Aug 2008* | $28 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Nov 2005 | $29 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Sep 2005 | $27 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Jun 2005 | $32 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Mar 2005 | $31 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Nov 2003 | $33 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Apr 2003 | $27 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Sep 2002* | $38 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Jun 2002* | $35 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Jul 1999 | $38 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Jul 1998 | $41 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Mar 1998 | $39 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Jul 1996 | $24 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Feb 1996 | $29 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Apr 1995 | $37 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $7 \%$ |

*Question asked of registered respondents only
REG. Some people are registered to vote, and many others are not. Are you CURRENTLY REGISTERED to vote at your present address?

```
85% Yes
15% No
```

Questions RegPARTY through ConConv were only asked of registered respondents ( $n=$ 993).

RegPARTY. Are you currently registered as a Republican, a Democrat, an Independent, or as something else?

| 46\% | Democrat |
| :---: | :--- |
| $43 \%$ | Republican |
| $9 \%$ | Independent |
| $1 \%$ | Something else |
| $1 \%$ | Don't know |

IntFav. Please let me know your opinion of some people involved in politics today. Is your opinion of [FILL name] favorable, unfavorable, undecided, or haven't you heard enough about [FILL name] to have an opinion? (rotated)

|  | Strongly favorable | Somewhat favorable | Somewhat unfavorable | Strongly unfavorable | Undecided | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ED RENDELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 2010 | 16\% | 26\% | 16\% | 29\% | 9\% | 4\% |
| Oct 2009 | 13\% | 24\% | 17\% | 30\% | 9\% | 7\% |
| Aug 2009 | 13\% | 19\% | 19\% | 34\% | 10\% | 5\% |
| Jun 2009 | 15\% | 27\% | 15\% | 25\% | 11\% | 7\% |
| Feb 2009 | 16\% | 26\% | 16\% | 22\% | 13\% | 7\% |
| ARLEN SPECTER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 2010 | 11\% | 24\% | 14\% | 29\% | 13\% | 9\% |
| Oct 2009 | 8\% | 20\% | 15\% | 31\% | 13\% | 13\% |
| Aug 2009 | 12\% | 23\% | 13\% | 29\% | 13\% | 10\% |
| Jun 2009 | 13\% | 18\% | 11\% | 26\% | 17\% | 15\% |
| Feb 2009 | 14\% | 28\% | 12\% | 17\% | 15\% | 14\% |
| BOB CASEY JR. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 2010 | 9\% | 23\% | 11\% | 9\% | 17\% | 31\% |
| Oct 2009 | 11\% | 21\% | 9\% | 12\% | 15\% | 32\% |
| Aug 2009 | 17\% | 24\% | 10\% | 8\% | 17\% | 24\% |
| Jun 2009 | 11\% | 21\% | 12\% | 5\% | 17\% | 34\% |
| Feb 2009 | 11\% | 19\% | 9\% | 8\% | 21\% | 32\% |
| BARACK OBAMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 2010 | 23\% | 21\% | 11\% | 33\% | 10\% | 2\% |
| Oct 2009 | 29\% | 16\% | 12\% | 27\% | 13\% | 3\% |
| Aug 2009 | 29\% | 26\% | 9\% | 28\% | 7\% | 1\% |
| Jun 2009 | 39\% | 17\% | 6\% | 21\% | 14\% | 3\% |
| Feb 2009 | 38\% | 18\% | 8\% | 15\% | 17\% | 4\% |
| Oct 2008 | 38\% | 15\% | 8\% | 25\% | 12\% | 3\% |
| Sep 2008 | 31\% | 18\% | 9\% | 21\% | 17\% | 3\% |
| Aug 2008 | 25\% | 18\% | 7\% | 22\% | 23\% | 5\% |
| JOE SESTAK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 2010 | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% | 2\% | 10\% | 76\% |
| Oct 2009 | 4\% | 7\% | 3\% | 2\% | 7\% | 77\% |
| Aug 2009 | 3\% | 10\% | 2\% | 2\% | 10\% | 73\% |
| PAT TOOMEY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 2010 | 6\% | 9\% | 4\% | 3\% | 13\% | 65\% |
| Oct 2009 | 5\% | 11\% | 5\% | 5\% | 10\% | 64\% |
| Aug 2009 | 7\% | 11\% | 4\% | 6\% | 9\% | 63\% |


|  | Favorable | Unfavorable | Undecided | Don't know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ED RENDELL |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{4 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $37 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $32 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $42 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $45 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Feb 2009 | $42 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Aug 2007 | $47 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Jun 2007 | $48 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ |


|  | Favorable | Unfavorable | Undecided | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ED RENDELL cont. |  |  |  |  |
| Feb 2007 | 53\% | 36\% | 8\% | 3\% |
| Oct 2006 | 54\% | 30\% | 12\% | 4\% |
| Sep 2006 | 52\% | 29\% | 16\% | 3\% |
| Aug 2006 | 49\% | 33\% | 14\% | 4\% |
| May 2006 | 48\% | 32\% | 16\% | 4\% |
| Feb 2006 | 41\% | 40\% | 14\% | 5\% |
| Nov 2005 | 39\% | 38\% | 18\% | 6\% |
| Sep 2005 | 42\% | 36\% | 16\% | 6\% |
| Jun 2005 | 41\% | 33\% | 17\% | 9\% |
| Mar 2005 | 48\% | 28\% | 18\% | 7\% |
| Aug 2004 | 46\% | 31\% | 17\% | 6\% |
| Feb 2004 | 40\% | 32\% | 15\% | 14\% |
| Nov 2003 | 46\% | 26\% | 21\% | 7\% |
| Apr 2003 | 50\% | 19\% | 17\% | 16\% |
| Oct 2002 | 50\% | 24\% | 18\% | 9\% |
| Sep 2002 | 43\% | 19\% | 25\% | 14\% |
| Jun 2002 | 40\% | 18\% | 26\% | 16\% |
| Oct 2001 | 30\% | 8\% | 13\% | 50\% |
| Apr 2001 | 29\% | 7\% | 11\% | 52\% |
| Jul 1999 | 30\% | 7\% | 9\% | 54\% |
| ARLEN SPECTER |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 2010 | 35\% | 43\% | 13\% | 9\% |
| Oct 2009 | 28\% | 46\% | 13\% | 13\% |
| Aug 2009 | 35\% | 42\% | 13\% | 10\% |
| Jun 2009 | 31\% | 37\% | 17\% | 15\% |
| Mar 2009 | 48\% | 24\% | 14\% | 14\% |
| Feb 2009 | 42\% | 29\% | 15\% | 14\% |
| Jun 2007 | 42\% | 33\% | 16\% | 9\% |
| Oct 2004 | 45\% | 28\% | 17\% | 10\% |
| Aug 2004 | 39\% | 26\% | 24\% | 11\% |
| Mar 2004 | 41\% | 25\% | 23\% | 11\% |
| Feb 2004 | 41\% | 25\% | 13\% | 21\% |
| Nov 2003 | 46\% | 26\% | 17\% | 11\% |
| Apr 2003 | 46\% | 23\% | 14\% | 17\% |
| Sep 1998 | 57\% | 17\% | 19\% | 7\% |
| Jul 1998 | 57\% | 19\% | 15\% | 9\% |
| Mar 1998 | 53\% | 27\% | 15\% | 5\% |
| Oct 1997 | 52\% | 20\% | 18\% | 10\% |
| Apr 1995 | 32\% | 31\% | 23\% | 14\% |
| Oct 1992 | 34\% | 30\% | 26\% | 7\% |
| Apr 1992 | 55\% | 28\% | 17\% | -- |
| BOB CASEY JR. |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 2010 | 32\% | 20\% | 17\% | 31\% |
| Oct 2009 | 32\% | 21\% | 15\% | 32\% |
| Aug 2009 | 41\% | 18\% | 17\% | 24\% |
| Jun 2009 | 32\% | 17\% | 17\% | 34\% |
| Mar 2009 | 34\% | 16\% | 19\% | 31\% |
| Feb 2009 | 30\% | 17\% | 21\% | 32\% |
| Jun 2007 | 29\% | 22\% | 23\% | 26\% |
| Oct 2006 | 39\% | 29\% | 16\% | 16\% |
| Sep 2006 | 29\% | 22\% | 22\% | 27\% |


|  | Favorable | Unfavorable | Undecided | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BOB CASEY JR. cont. |  |  |  |  |
| Aug 2006 | 31\% | 20\% | 18\% | 31\% |
| May 2006 | 30\% | 13\% | 20\% | 37\% |
| Feb 2006 | 30\% | 8\% | 16\% | 45\% |
| Nov 2005 | 30\% | 9\% | 20\% | 40\% |
| Sep 2005 | 32\% | 7\% | 22\% | 39\% |
| Jun 2005 | 40\% | 9\% | 15\% | 36\% |
| Mar 2005 | 33\% | 10\% | 19\% | 38\% |
| Oct 2001 | 28\% | 7\% | 22\% | 43\% |
| Apr 2001 | 33\% | 9\% | 22\% | 36\% |
| Jun 1999 | 29\% | 11\% | 17\% | 44\% |
| BARACK OBAMA |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 2010 | 44\% | 44\% | 10\% | 2\% |
| Oct 2009 | 45\% | 39\% | 13\% | 3\% |
| Aug 2009 | 55\% | 37\% | 7\% | 1\% |
| Jun 2009 | 56\% | 27\% | 14\% | 3\% |
| Mar 2009 | 59\% | 21\% | 18\% | 2\% |
| Feb 2009 | 56\% | 23\% | 17\% | 4\% |
| Oct 2008 | 53\% | 33\% | 12\% | 3\% |
| Sep 2008 | 49\% | 30\% | 17\% | 3\% |
| Aug 2008 | 43\% | 29\% | 23\% | 5\% |
| Feb 2008 | 46\% | 27\% | 21\% | 6\% |
| Jan 2008 | 41\% | 27\% | 23\% | 9\% |
| Aug 2007 | 37\% | 21\% | 23\% | 19\% |
| Jun 2007 | 32\% | 21\% | 20\% | 27\% |
| Feb 2007 | 31\% | 15\% | 20\% | 34\% |
| JOE SESTAK |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 2010 | 8\% | 6\% | 10\% | 76\% |
| Oct 2009 | 11\% | 5\% | 7\% | 77\% |
| Aug 2009 | 13\% | 4\% | 10\% | 73\% |
| PAT TOOMEY |  |  |  |  |
| Jan 2010 | 15\% | 7\% | 13\% | 65\% |
| Oct 2009 | 16\% | 10\% | 10\% | 64\% |
| Aug 2009 | 18\% | 10\% | 9\% | 63\% |

Vote_Nov. Many people will vote in the mid-term election in November, however, many other people will not. What would you say are the chances you will vote in the November election? Are you certain to vote, will you probably vote, are the chances fifty-fifty you will vote, OR don't you think that you will vote in the November election?

| 66\% | Certain to vote |
| :---: | :--- |
| $19 \%$ | Will probably vote |
| 10\% | Chances 50-50 |
| $5 \%$ | Don't think will vote |

Pol_Int. Some people don't pay much attention to political campaigns. How about you? Would you say that you are...in the 2010 elections?

| $45 \%$ | Very much interested |
| :--- | :--- |
| $45 \%$ | Somewhat interested |
| $10 \%$ | Not very interested |

Gen. When it comes to presidential elections, do you always vote, do you usually vote, do you only sometimes vote, or do you rarely vote?

| 84\% | Always vote |
| :---: | :--- |
| $11 \%$ | Usually vote |
| $3 \%$ | Only sometimes vote |
| $2 \%$ | Rarely vote |

Vot08. Did you vote in the last presidential election in 2008 or not?

| 94\% | Yes |
| :---: | :--- |
| $6 \%$ | No |

Vot08pref. Did you vote for Barack Obama, John McCain, or some other candidate in $2008 ?$
$n=936$

| 52\% | Obama |
| :---: | :--- |
| $45 \%$ | McCain |
| $3 \%$ | Other |

DemPrim. If the 2010 Democratic primary election for U.S. SENATOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Arlen Specter and Joe Sestak, would you vote for Arlen Specter, Joe Sestak, some other candidate, or aren't you sure how you would vote?
$n=443$ (registered Democrats)

|  | Arlen <br> Specter | Joe <br> Sestak | Other | Don't <br> know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $30 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $37 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $33 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $48 \%$ |

SenSpT. If the 2010 election for U.S. SENATOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Arlen Specter, the Democrat, and Pat Toomey, the Republican, would you vote for Arlen Specter, Pat Toomey, some other candidate, or aren't you sure how you would vote?

|  | Arlen <br> Specter | Pat <br> Toomey | Other | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $33 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $37 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $33 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $42 \%$ |

SenSplsA. Would you say that you are more likely or less likely to vote for Senator Specter because he switched his party registration from Republican to Democrat?

| 9\% | Much more likely |
| :---: | :--- |
| $10 \%$ | Somewhat more likely |
| $12 \%$ | Somewhat less likely |
| $27 \%$ | Much less likely |
| $38 \%$ | Made no difference |
| $4 \%$ | Don't know |

SenSplsB. Would you say that you are more likely or less likely to vote for Senator Specter because he voted for the president's healthcare plan?

| $15 \%$ | Much more likely |
| :---: | :--- |
| $13 \%$ | Somewhat more likely |
| $11 \%$ | Somewhat less likely |
| $31 \%$ | Much less likely |
| $23 \%$ | Made no difference |
| $7 \%$ | Don't know |

SenSeT. If the 2010 election for U.S. SENATOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Joe Sestak, the Democrat, and Pat Toomey, the Republican, would you vote for Joe Sestak, Pat Toomey, some other candidate, or aren't you sure how you would vote?

|  | Pat <br> Toomey | Joe <br> Sestak | Other | Don't <br> know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{2 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $28 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $26 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $46 \%$ |

Iss_Sen. What will be the single MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE in your vote for U.S. Senate this year?

| $29 \%$ | Healthcare |
| :---: | :--- |
| $24 \%$ | Economic issues, employment, bailout |
| $4 \%$ | Abortion stance |
| $3 \%$ | Taxes |
| $3 \%$ | Reduce spending, debt, pass budget |
| $3 \%$ | Defense, safety of nation, war, terrorism |
| $3 \%$ | Education issues |
| $2 \%$ | Political party, ideology, switched parties |
| $2 \%$ | Personality, morality, values |
| $9 \%$ | Other |
| $17 \%$ | Don't know |

DemPrim2. If the 2010 Democratic primary election for GOVERNOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Dan Onorato, Jack Wagner, Tom Knox, Joe Hoeffel, and Chris Doherty, would you vote for Dan Onorato, Jack Wagner, Tom Knox, Joe Hoeffel, Chris Doherty, some other candidate, or aren't you sure how you would vote?
$n=443$ (registered Democrats)

|  | Dan <br> Onorato | Jack <br> Wagner | Chris <br> Doherty | Joe <br> Hoeffel | Tom <br> Knox | Other | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $10 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $66 \%$ |

RepPrim. If the 2010 Republican primary election for GOVERNOR were being held today and the candidates included (rotated) Tom Corbett and Sam Rohrer, would you vote for Tom Corbett, Sam Rohrer, some other candidate, or aren't you sure how you would vote?
$n=416$ (registered Republicans)

| $23 \%$ | Tom Corbett |
| :---: | :--- |
| $5 \%$ | Sam Rohrer |
| $3 \%$ | Other |
| $69 \%$ | Don't know |

Iss_Gov. What will be the single MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE in your vote for governor this year?

| $26 \%$ | Economic issues, employment |
| :--- | :--- |
| $13 \%$ | Taxes |
| $8 \%$ | Reduce spending, debt, pass budget |
| $7 \%$ | Healthcare |
| $4 \%$ | Education issues |
| $2 \%$ | Personality, morality, values |
| $2 \%$ | Abortion stance |
| $1 \%$ | Everything, nothing |
| $13 \%$ | Other |
| $23 \%$ | Don't know |

RatePres. How would you rate the way that Barack Obama is handling his job as president?

|  | Excellent <br> job | Good <br> job | Only a <br> fair job | Poor <br> job | Don't <br> know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $17 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $14 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $20 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $23 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Feb 2009 | $25 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $9 \%$ |

RateSenS. How would you rate the way that ARLEN SPECTER is handling his job as U.S. SENATOR?

|  | Excellent <br> job | Good <br> job | Only a <br> fair job | Poor <br> job | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $4 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $8 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $10 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $13 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Feb 2009 | $8 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Aug 2007 | $15 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Feb 2004 | $5 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Nov 2003 | $7 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| Apr 2003 | $11 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Sep 1998 | $7 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Jul 1998 | $10 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Oct 1997 | $12 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Apr 1992 | $5 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $44 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Oct 1991 | $11 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $9 \%$ | -- |

DesRECD. Do you believe that ARLEN SPECTER has done a good enough job as SENATOR to deserve re-election, or do you believe it is time for a change?

|  | Deserves <br> re-election | Time for <br> a change | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $23 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $34 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $28 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Mar 2009 | $40 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Oct 1997 | $49 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $11 \%$ |

WhyChg. Why do you think it is time for a change?
$n=595$

| $25 \%$ | Should be term limits, Specter served too long |
| :---: | :--- |
| $21 \%$ | Political party, ideology, switched parties |
| $14 \%$ | Not representative of the people |
| $13 \%$ | Dissatisfied with Specter, time for a change |
| $7 \%$ | Physical attributes (including age, gender, health) |
| $7 \%$ | Personality, morality, values |
| $6 \%$ | Anti-Obama administration, votes with Obama, healthcare |
| $6 \%$ | Doesn't follows through, wishy-washy |
| $5 \%$ | Views on policy issues, in general |
| $4 \%$ | Economic issues, employment |
| $3 \%$ | Past performance, track record |
| $2 \%$ | Reduce spending, debt, pass budget |
| $7 \%$ | Other |
| $6 \%$ | Don't know |

Percentages total more than $100 \%$ because multiple responses were accepted.

Rate_StL. What kind of job do you think the state legislature is doing overall?

|  | Excellent <br> job | Good <br> job | Only a <br> fair job | Poor <br> job | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $1 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $0 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $1 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Aug 2007 | $1 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Jun 2007 | $1 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Feb 2007 | $1 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| May 2006 | $1 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Sep 2005* | $1 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Nov 2003* | $1 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Feb 2000* | $3 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Jul 1999* | $2 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $6 \%$ |

*Question asked of all respondents regardless of registration status
PAgov1. Generally speaking, do you think Pennsylvania's state government needs reform, or not?

| $78 \%$ | Yes |
| :---: | :--- |
| $13 \%$ | No |
| $9 \%$ | Don't know |

PAgov2. How strongly do you feel about this?
$n=901$

| 51\% | Very strongly |
| :---: | :--- |
| $40 \%$ | Somewhat strongly |
| $6 \%$ | Not very strongly |
| $2 \%$ | Not strongly at all |
| $1 \%$ | Don't know |

IntRefA. Which of the following areas of state government do you think need to be reformed?

| $n=775$ | Yes | No | Don't <br> know |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The way campaigns are financed | $78 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| The structure and operations of the state legislature, <br> including the size of the legislature and the need for <br> term limits | $76 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| The way state and local governments are financed | $76 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| The way state legislative districts are created | $47 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| The way the state's appeals court judges are selected | $45 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $29 \%$ |

ConConv. Pennsylvania's constitution establishes the fundamental law of the state, ensuring basic rights to citizens, outlining the structure of state government, and providing rules by which state legislators are elected and how they conduct the state's business. The state's current constitution was adopted in 1874 and the last constitutional convention was held in 1967. Would you favor or oppose a state constitutional convention to review the state's constitution?

| $45 \%$ | Strongly favor |
| :---: | :--- |
| $27 \%$ | Somewhat favor |
| $10 \%$ | Somewhat oppose |
| $10 \%$ | Strongly oppose |
| $8 \%$ | Don't know |

I now have a final few questions for statistical purposes only.
CNTY. Region of state (What is the name of the county you live in?)

| $28 \%$ | Central |
| :---: | :--- |
| $21 \%$ | Southeast |
| $13 \%$ | Northeast |
| $11 \%$ | Southwest |
| $9 \%$ | Philadelphia |
| $9 \%$ | Northwest |
| $9 \%$ | Allegheny |

RESD. How many years have you lived at your current residence?
17 Mean
AGE. What was your age on your last birthday?

| $8 \%$ | $18-24$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $19 \%$ | $25-34$ |
| $13 \%$ | $35-44$ |
| $24 \%$ | $45-54$ |
| $14 \%$ | $55-64$ |
| $22 \%$ | 65 and older |

EDUC. What was the highest grade level of schooling you have completed?
9\% Non high school graduate
43\% High school graduate or GED
14\% Some college
8\% Two-year or tech degree
15\% Four year college degree
11\% Post graduate degree
MAR. What is your CURRENT marital status, are you single, married, separated, divorced, or a widower?

| $23 \%$ | Single, Never Married |
| :---: | :--- |
| $56 \%$ | Married |
| $2 \%$ | Separated |
| $9 \%$ | Divorced |
| $10 \%$ | Widow or widower |

IDEO. Politically speaking, do you consider yourself to be a liberal, a moderate, or a conservative?

|  | Liberal | Moderate | Conservative | Don't know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | 19\% | 30\% | 42\% | 9\% |
| Oct 2009 | 16\% | 36\% | 39\% | 9\% |
| Aug 2009 | 16\% | 36\% | 43\% | 5\% |
| Jun 2009 | 19\% | 34\% | 37\% | 10\% |
| Feb 2008 | 20\% | 41\% | 35\% | 4\% |
| Jan 2008 | 18\% | 40\% | 38\% | 4\% |
| Aug 2007 | 21\% | 44\% | 31\% | 4\% |
| Jun 2007 | 19\% | 42\% | 35\% | 4\% |
| Feb 2007 | 21\% | 41\% | 32\% | 6\% |
| Oct 2006 | 17\% | 42\% | 35\% | 6\% |
| Sep 2006 | 20\% | 39\% | 36\% | 5\% |
| Aug 2006 | 19\% | 38\% | 38\% | 5\% |
| May 2006 | 16\% | 43\% | 35\% | 6\% |
| Feb 2006 | 21\% | 41\% | 34\% | 4\% |
| Nov 2005 | 17\% | 42\% | 35\% | 7\% |
| Sep 2005 | 22\% | 38\% | 35\% | 5\% |
| Jun 2005* | 19\% | 42\% | 33\% | 7\% |
| Mar 2005* | 16\% | 40\% | 38\% | 6\% |
| Oct 2004 | 16\% | 39\% | 38\% | 7\% |
| Sep 2004 | 16\% | 52\% | 29\% | 4\% |
| Aug 2004 | 15\% | 48\% | 33\% | 3\% |
| Mar 2004 | 16\% | 44\% | 34\% | 6\% |
| Feb 2004 | 20\% | 41\% | 33\% | 7\% |
| Nov 2003 | 21\% | 42\% | 31\% | 7\% |
| Apr 2003* | 19\% | 41\% | 35\% | 5\% |
| Oct 2002 | 16\% | 41\% | 35\% | 8\% |
| Sep 2002 | 18\% | 41\% | 35\% | 6\% |
| Jun 2002 | 19\% | 43\% | 33\% | 5\% |
| Oct 2001 | 20\% | 38\% | 36\% | 6\% |
| Apr 2001 | 19\% | 36\% | 35\% | 9\% |
| Oct 2000 | 22\% | 37\% | 33\% | 8\% |
| Feb 2000 | 19\% | 44\% | 37\% | 0\% |
| Jul 1999 | 18\% | 37\% | 39\% | 6\% |

*Question asked of all respondents regardless of registration status

PRTY1. Regardless of how you are registered, in politics, as of today, do you think of yourself as a Republican, a Democrat, or an Independent?

| $18 \%$ | Strong Republican |
| :---: | :--- |
| $12 \%$ | Republican |
| $15 \%$ | Lean Republican |
| $9 \%$ | Pure Independent |
| $13 \%$ | Lean Democrat |
| $11 \%$ | Democrat |
| $20 \%$ | Strong Democrat |
| $2 \%$ | Don't know |

LABR. Are you or is any member of your household a member of a LABOR UNION?
18\% Yes
82\% No
VET. Are you a military veteran?

| $13 \%$ | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $87 \%$ | No |

Hisp. Are you Hispanic or Latino, or not?

| 4\% | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| $96 \%$ | No |

RACE. Which of the following categories best describes your racial background?
86\% White
14\% Non-white

ABORT. Do you think that abortion should be...

|  | Legal under any <br> circumstances | Legal under certain <br> circumstances | Illegal in all <br> circumstances | Don't <br> know |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{2 3 \%}$ | $50 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ | $3 \%$ |
| Oct 2009 | $20 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $21 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $18 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Aug 2007 | $22 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Jun 2007 | $21 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Feb 2007 | $20 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Oct 2006* | $17 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Sep 2006* | $22 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Aug 2006* | $23 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| May 2006* | $19 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Feb 2006 | $23 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Nov 2005 | $23 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Sep 2005 | $24 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Jun 2005 | $22 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Mar 2005 | $18 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Oct 2004* | $18 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Sep 2004* | $22 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Aug 2004* | $20 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Mar 2004* | $16 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Feb 2004 | $22 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Nov 2003 | $23 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Apr 2003* | $22 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Oct 2002* | $29 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Sep 2002* | $26 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Jun 2002* | $26 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Oct 2001* | $25 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Apr 2001* | $25 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Oct 2000* | $26 \%$ | $53 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Feb 2000* | $23 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Jul 1999 | $26 \%$ |  | $3 \%$ |  |

*Question asked of registered respondents only

REL. Do you consider yourself to be Protestant, Catholic, some other religion, or not affiliated with any religion?

| $37 \%$ | Protestant |
| :---: | :--- |
| $27 \%$ | Catholic |
| $21 \%$ | Some other religion |
| $14 \%$ | Not affiliated with any religion |
| $1 \%$ | Don't know |

BAC. Do you consider yourself to be a born-again Christian or fundamentalist, or not?

| $34 \%$ | Yes |
| :---: | :--- |
| $62 \%$ | No |
| $4 \%$ | Don't know |

Bible. Which of these statements comes closest to describing your feelings about the Bible?

|  | The Bible is the actual word <br> of God and is to be taken <br> literally, word for <br> word. | The Bible is the inspired <br> word of God but not <br> everything in it should be <br> taken literally, word for <br> word. | The Bible is an ancient book <br> of fables, legends, history, <br> and moral <br> precepts recorded by men. | Don't <br> know |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan 2010 | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 \%}$ |
| Oct 2009 | $33 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Aug 2009 | $32 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Jun 2009 | $25 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Feb 2006 | $27 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Nov 2005 | $25 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Sep 2004* | $26 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Aug 2004* | $26 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

*Question asked of registered respondents only

NumA. Including yourself, how many adults 18 years of age or OLDER CURRENTLY live in this household?

| $26 \%$ | One |
| :---: | :--- |
| $54 \%$ | Two |
| $15 \%$ | Three |
| $5 \%$ | Four or more |

WORK. Are you currently working FULL-time, PART-time, going to school, keeping house or something else?

| 47\% | Full-time |
| :---: | :--- |
| $10 \%$ | Part-time |
| $5 \%$ | Going to school |
| $7 \%$ | Keeping house |
| $7 \%$ | Unemployed |
| $5 \%$ | Disabled |
| $19 \%$ | Retired |

INC1. And, just for statistical purposes, we need to know if your total family income is above or below \$50,000 per year?

| $19 \%$ | Under \$25,000 |
| :---: | :--- |
| $14 \%$ | $\$ 25-\$ 35,000$ |
| $14 \%$ | $\$ 35-50,000$ |
| $20 \%$ | $\$ 50-75,000$ |
| $12 \%$ | $\$ 75-100,000$ |
| $16 \%$ | Over \$100,000 |
| $5 \%$ | Don't know |

DONE. Sex of respondent:
52\% Female
48\% Male

