Friday Datamar Survey

50th Congressional District Special Election

Roach And Bilbray In Tight Fight For Second
Busby In Lead At 39%

Date: April 7, 2006

Contact: Raul Furlong
619-579-8244
www.datamar.com

Sample size 1042
+/- 3.03 percent sampling error
April 3-6, 2006

San Diego – A poll was released today by Datamar Inc. regarding candidates from all political parties in the 50th Congressional Special Election to be held April 11th. This survey questioned *50th Congressional District high-propensity registered voters -- voters who voted* in the 2004 Presidential primary and general elections *and* in the 2002 gubernatorial primary and general elections.


Q10 Candidate Preference
Francine Busby
Eric Roach
Brian Bilbray
Howard Kaloogian
Bill Morrow
Alan Uke
Another candidate
39
17.5
16.4
9.5
6.8
4.3
6.3
0
10
20
30
40
50
Another candidate
Alan Uke
Bill Morrow
Howard Kaloogian
Brian Bilbray
Eric Roach
Francine Busby
Q10 Candidate Preference
6.3
4.3
6.8
9.5
16.4
17.5
39
Percent

Q2 Party Registration

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democrat	342	32.8	32.8	32.8
	Republican	568	54.5	54.5	87.3
	Another party	38	3.6	3.6	91.0
	No party registration	94	9.0	9.0	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q10 Candidate Preference * Q2 Party Registration Crosstabulation

			Q2 Party Registration				
			Democrat	Republican	Another party	No party registration	Total
Q10 Candidate Preference	Bill Morrow	Count	12	51	1	7	71
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	16.9%	71.8%	1.4%	9.9%	100.0%
		% within Q2 Party Registration	3.5%	9.0%	2.6%	7.4%	6.8%
		% of Total	1.2%	4.9%	.1%	.7%	6.8%
	Howard Kaloogian	Count	4	85	6	4	99
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	4.0%	85.9%	6.1%	4.0%	100.0%
		% within Q2 Party Registration	1.2%	15.0%	15.8%	4.3%	9.5%
		% of Total	.4%	8.2%	.6%	.4%	9.5%
	Alan Uke	Count	0	37	2	6	45
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	.0%	82.2%	4.4%	13.3%	100.0%
		% within Q2 Party Registration	.0%	6.5%	5.3%	6.4%	4.3%
		% of Total	.0%	3.6%	.2%	.6%	4.3%
	Eric Roach	Count	12	160	4	7	183
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	6.6%	87.4%	2.2%	3.8%	100.0%
		% within Q2 Party Registration	3.5%	28.2%	10.5%	7.4%	17.6%
		% of Total	1.2%	15.4%	.4%	.7%	17.6%
	Brian Bilbray	Count	10	143	6	12	171
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	5.8%	83.6%	3.5%	7.0%	100.0%
		% within Q2 Party Registration	2.9%	25.2%	15.8%	12.8%	16.4%
		% of Total	1.0%	13.7%	.6%	1.2%	16.4%
	Francine Busby	Count	299	43	13	52	407
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	73.5%	10.6%	3.2%	12.8%	100.0%
		% within Q2 Party Registration	87.4%	7.6%	34.2%	55.3%	39.1%
		% of Total	28.7%	4.1%	1.2%	5.0%	39.1%
	Another candidate	Count	5	49	6	6	66
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	7.6%	74.2%	9.1%	9.1%	100.0%
		% within Q2 Party Registration	1.5%	8.6%	15.8%	6.4%	6.3%
		% of Total	.5%	4.7%	.6%	.6%	6.3%
Total		Count	342	568	38	94	1042
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	32.8%	54.5%	3.6%	9.0%	100.0%
		% within Q2 Party Registration	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	32.8%	54.5%	3.6%	9.0%	100.0%


Q2 Party Registration
Democrat
Republican
Another party
No party registration
100.0%
80.0%
60.0%
40.0%
20.0%
0.0%
Percent
Bill Morrow
Howard Kaloogian
Alan Uke
Eric Roach
Brian Bilbray
Francine Busby
Another candidate
Q10 Candidate Preference

Q3 Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	512	49.1	49.1	49.1
	Female	530	50.9	50.9	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q10 Candidate Preference * Q3 Gender Crosstabulation

			Q3 Gender		
			Male	Female	Total
Q10 Candidate Preference	Bill Morrow	Count	36	35	71
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	50.7%	49.3%	100.0%
		% within Q3 Gender	7.0%	6.6%	6.8%
		% of Total	3.5%	3.4%	6.8%
	Howard Kaloogian	Count	59	40	99
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	59.6%	40.4%	100.0%
		% within Q3 Gender	11.5%	7.5%	9.5%
		% of Total	5.7%	3.8%	9.5%
	Alan Uke	Count	29	16	45
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	64.4%	35.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3 Gender	5.7%	3.0%	4.3%
		% of Total	2.8%	1.5%	4.3%
	Eric Roach	Count	70	113	183
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	38.3%	61.7%	100.0%
		% within Q3 Gender	13.7%	21.3%	17.6%
		% of Total	6.7%	10.8%	17.6%
	Brian Bilbray	Count	91	80	171
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	53.2%	46.8%	100.0%
		% within Q3 Gender	17.8%	15.1%	16.4%
		% of Total	8.7%	7.7%	16.4%
	Francine Busby	Count	201	206	407
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	49.4%	50.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3 Gender	39.3%	38.9%	39.1%
		% of Total	19.3%	19.8%	39.1%
	Another candidate	Count	26	40	66
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	39.4%	60.6%	100.0%
		% within Q3 Gender	5.1%	7.5%	6.3%
		% of Total	2.5%	3.8%	6.3%
Total		Count	512	530	1042
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	49.1%	50.9%	100.0%
		% within Q3 Gender	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	49.1%	50.9%	100.0%


Q3 Gender
Male
Female
Percent
40.0%
30.0%
20.0%
10.0%
0.0%
Bill Morrow
Howard Kaloogian
Alan Uke
Eric Roach
Brian Bilbray
Francine Busby
Another candidate
Q10 Candidate Preference

Q4 Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	57	5.5	5.5	5.5
	30-49	340	32.6	32.6	38.1
	50-59	340	32.6	32.6	70.7
	60 or older	305	29.3	29.3	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q10 Candidate Preference * Q4 Age Crosstabulation

			Q4 Age				
			18-29	30-49	50-59	60 or older	Total
Q10 Candidate Preference	Bill Morrow	Count	10	14	32	15	71
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	14.1%	19.7%	45.1%	21.1%	100.0%
		% within Q4 Age	17.5%	4.1%	9.4%	4.9%	6.8%
		% of Total	1.0%	1.3%	3.1%	1.4%	6.8%
	Howard Kaloogian	Count	7	28	29	35	99
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	7.1%	28.3%	29.3%	35.4%	100.0%
		% within Q4 Age	12.3%	8.2%	8.5%	11.5%	9.5%
		% of Total	.7%	2.7%	2.8%	3.4%	9.5%
	Alan Uke	Count	3	13	12	17	45
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	6.7%	28.9%	26.7%	37.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4 Age	5.3%	3.8%	3.5%	5.6%	4.3%
		% of Total	.3%	1.2%	1.2%	1.6%	4.3%
	Eric Roach	Count	6	70	41	66	183
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	3.3%	38.3%	22.4%	36.1%	100.0%
		% within Q4 Age	10.5%	20.6%	12.1%	21.6%	17.6%
		% of Total	.6%	6.7%	3.9%	6.3%	17.6%
	Brian Bilbray	Count	5	47	42	77	171
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	2.9%	27.5%	24.6%	45.0%	100.0%
		% within Q4 Age	8.8%	13.8%	12.4%	25.2%	16.4%
		% of Total	.5%	4.5%	4.0%	7.4%	16.4%
	Francine Busby	Count	23	153	159	72	407
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	5.7%	37.6%	39.1%	17.7%	100.0%
		% within Q4 Age	40.4%	45.0%	46.8%	23.6%	39.1%
		% of Total	2.2%	14.7%	15.3%	6.9%	39.1%
	Another candidate	Count	3	15	25	23	66
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	4.5%	22.7%	37.9%	34.8%	100.0%
		% within Q4 Age	5.3%	4.4%	7.4%	7.5%	6.3%
		% of Total	.3%	1.4%	2.4%	2.2%	6.3%
Total		Count	57	340	340	305	1042
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	5.5%	32.6%	32.6%	29.3%	100.0%
		% within Q4 Age	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	5.5%	32.6%	32.6%	29.3%	100.0%


Q4 Age
18-29
30-49
50-59
60 or older
Percent
50.0%
40.0%
30.0%
20.0%
10.0%
0.0%
Bill Morrow
Howard Kaloogian
Alan Uke
Eric Roach
Brian Bilbray
Francine Busby
Another candidate
Q10 Candidate Preference

Q5 Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	High school graduate or less	87	8.3	8.3	8.3
	Some college/trade school	293	28.1	28.1	36.5
	Graduated college or more	662	63.5	63.5	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q10 Candidate Preference * Q5 Education Crosstabulation

			Q5 Education			
			High school graduate or less	Some college/trade school	Graduated college or more	Total
Q10 Candidate Preference	Bill Morrow	Count	11	19	41	71
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	15.5%	26.8%	57.7%	100.0%
		% within Q5 Education	12.6%	6.5%	6.2%	6.8%
		% of Total	1.1%	1.8%	3.9%	6.8%
	Howard Kaloogian	Count	15	32	52	99
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	15.2%	32.3%	52.5%	100.0%
		% within Q5 Education	17.2%	10.9%	7.9%	9.5%
		% of Total	1.4%	3.1%	5.0%	9.5%
	Alan Uke	Count	6	17	22	45
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	13.3%	37.8%	48.9%	100.0%
		% within Q5 Education	6.9%	5.8%	3.3%	4.3%
		% of Total	.6%	1.6%	2.1%	4.3%
	Eric Roach	Count	14	66	103	183
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	7.7%	36.1%	56.3%	100.0%
		% within Q5 Education	16.1%	22.5%	15.6%	17.6%
		% of Total	1.3%	6.3%	9.9%	17.6%
	Brian Bilbray	Count	13	64	94	171
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	7.6%	37.4%	55.0%	100.0%
		% within Q5 Education	14.9%	21.8%	14.2%	16.4%
		% of Total	1.2%	6.1%	9.0%	16.4%
	Francine Busby	Count	22	75	310	407
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	5.4%	18.4%	76.2%	100.0%
		% within Q5 Education	25.3%	25.6%	46.8%	39.1%
		% of Total	2.1%	7.2%	29.8%	39.1%
	Another candidate	Count	6	20	40	66
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	9.1%	30.3%	60.6%	100.0%
		% within Q5 Education	6.9%	6.8%	6.0%	6.3%
		% of Total	.6%	1.9%	3.8%	6.3%
Total		Count	87	293	662	1042
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	8.3%	28.1%	63.5%	100.0%
		% within Q5 Education	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	8.3%	28.1%	63.5%	100.0%


Q5 Education
High school graduate or less
Some college/trade school
Graduated college or more
Percent
50.0%
40.0%
30.0%
20.0%
10.0%
0.0%
Bill Morrow
Howard Kaloogian
Alan Uke
Eric Roach
Brian Bilbray
Francine Busby
Another candidate
Q10 Candidate Preference

Q6 Labor

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	215	20.6	20.6	20.6
	No	827	79.4	79.4	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q10 Candidate Preference * Q6 Labor Crosstabulation

			Q6 Labor		
			Yes	No	Total
Q10 Candidate Preference	Bill Morrow	Count	15	56	71
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	21.1%	78.9%	100.0%
		% within Q6 Labor	7.0%	6.8%	6.8%
		% of Total	1.4%	5.4%	6.8%
	Howard Kaloogian	Count	16	83	99
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	16.2%	83.8%	100.0%
		% within Q6 Labor	7.4%	10.0%	9.5%
		% of Total	1.5%	8.0%	9.5%
	Alan Uke	Count	10	35	45
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	22.2%	77.8%	100.0%
		% within Q6 Labor	4.7%	4.2%	4.3%
		% of Total	1.0%	3.4%	4.3%
	Eric Roach	Count	28	155	183
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	15.3%	84.7%	100.0%
		% within Q6 Labor	13.0%	18.7%	17.6%
		% of Total	2.7%	14.9%	17.6%
	Brian Bilbray	Count	27	144	171
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	15.8%	84.2%	100.0%
		% within Q6 Labor	12.6%	17.4%	16.4%
		% of Total	2.6%	13.8%	16.4%
	Francine Busby	Count	112	295	407
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	27.5%	72.5%	100.0%
		% within Q6 Labor	52.1%	35.7%	39.1%
		% of Total	10.7%	28.3%	39.1%
	Another candidate	Count	7	59	66
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	10.6%	89.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6 Labor	3.3%	7.1%	6.3%
		% of Total	.7%	5.7%	6.3%
Total		Count	215	827	1042
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	20.6%	79.4%	100.0%
		% within Q6 Labor	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	20.6%	79.4%	100.0%


Q6 Labor
Yes
No
60.0%
50.0%
40.0%
30.0%
20.0%
10.0%
0.0%
Percent
Bill Morrow
Howard Kaloogian
Alan Uke
Eric Roach
Brian Bilbray
Francine Busby
Another candidate
Q10 Candidate Preference

Q7 Ethnic Group

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White non-hispanic	918	88.1	88.1	88.1
	Hispanic/latino	63	6.0	6.0	94.1
	Black/African-American	8	.8	.8	94.9
	Asian/other	53	5.1	5.1	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q10 Candidate Preference * Q7 Ethnic Group Crosstabulation

			Q7 Ethnic Group				
			White non-hispanic	Hispanic/latino	Black/ African-American	Asian/other	Total
Q10 Candidate Preference	Bill Morrow	Count	63	4	0	4	71
		% within Q10 Candidat Preference	88.7%	5.6%	.0%	5.6%	100.0%
		% within Q7 Ethnic Gro	6.9%	6.3%	.0%	7.5%	6.8%
		% of Total	6.0%	.4%	.0%	.4%	6.8%
	Howard Kaloogia	Count	88	7	1	3	99
		% within Q10 Candidat Preference	88.9%	7.1%	1.0%	3.0%	100.0%
		% within Q7 Ethnic Gro	9.6%	11.1%	12.5%	5.7%	9.5%
		% of Total	8.4%	.7%	.1%	.3%	9.5%
	Alan Uke	Count	38	2	0	5	45
		% within Q10 Candidat Preference	84.4%	4.4%	.0%	11.1%	100.0%
		% within Q7 Ethnic Gro	4.1%	3.2%	.0%	9.4%	4.3%
		% of Total	3.6%	.2%	.0%	.5%	4.3%
	Eric Roach	Count	167	5	0	11	183
		% within Q10 Candidat Preference	91.3%	2.7%	.0%	6.0%	100.0%
		% within Q7 Ethnic Gro	18.2%	7.9%	.0%	20.8%	17.6%
		% of Total	16.0%	.5%	.0%	1.1%	17.6%
	Brian Bilbray	Count	149	8	0	14	171
		% within Q10 Candidat Preference	87.1%	4.7%	.0%	8.2%	100.0%
		% within Q7 Ethnic Gro	16.2%	12.7%	.0%	26.4%	16.4%
		% of Total	14.3%	.8%	.0%	1.3%	16.4%
	Francine Busby	Count	356	30	6	15	407
		% within Q10 Candidat Preference	87.5%	7.4%	1.5%	3.7%	100.0%
		% within Q7 Ethnic Gro	38.8%	47.6%	75.0%	28.3%	39.1%
		% of Total	34.2%	2.9%	.6%	1.4%	39.1%
	Another candidat	Count	57	7	1	1	66
		% within Q10 Candidat Preference	86.4%	10.6%	1.5%	1.5%	100.0%
		% within Q7 Ethnic Gro	6.2%	11.1%	12.5%	1.9%	6.3%
		% of Total	5.5%	.7%	.1%	.1%	6.3%
Total		Count	918	63	8	53	1042
		% within Q10 Candidat Preference	88.1%	6.0%	.8%	5.1%	100.0%
		% within Q7 Ethnic Gro	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	88.1%	6.0%	.8%	5.1%	100.0%


Q7 Ethnic Group
White non-hispanic
Hispanic/latino
Black/African-American
Asian/other
Percent
80.0%
60.0%
40.0%
20.0%
0.0%
Bill Morrow
Howard Kaloogian
Alan Uke
Eric Roach
Brian Bilbray
Francine Busby
Another candidate
Q10 Candidate Preference

Q8 Marital Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Married	768	73.7	73.7	73.7
	Seaprated/divorced/widowed	179	17.2	17.2	90.9
	Never married	95	9.1	9.1	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q10 Candidate Preference * Q8 Marital Status Crosstabulation

			Q8 Marital Status			
			Married	Seaprated/ divorced/w idowed	Never married	Total
Q10 Candidate Preference	Bill Morrow	Count	51	11	9	71
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	71.8%	15.5%	12.7%	100.0%
		% within Q8 Marital Status	6.6%	6.1%	9.5%	6.8%
		% of Total	4.9%	1.1%	.9%	6.8%
	Howard Kaloogian	Count	73	20	6	99
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	73.7%	20.2%	6.1%	100.0%
		% within Q8 Marital Status	9.5%	11.2%	6.3%	9.5%
		% of Total	7.0%	1.9%	.6%	9.5%
	Alan Uke	Count	33	5	7	45
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	73.3%	11.1%	15.6%	100.0%
		% within Q8 Marital Status	4.3%	2.8%	7.4%	4.3%
		% of Total	3.2%	.5%	.7%	4.3%
	Eric Roach	Count	133	40	10	183
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	72.7%	21.9%	5.5%	100.0%
		% within Q8 Marital Status	17.3%	22.3%	10.5%	17.6%
		% of Total	12.8%	3.8%	1.0%	17.6%
	Brian Bilbray	Count	128	31	12	171
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	74.9%	18.1%	7.0%	100.0%
		% within Q8 Marital Status	16.7%	17.3%	12.6%	16.4%
		% of Total	12.3%	3.0%	1.2%	16.4%
	Francine Busby	Count	301	58	48	407
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	74.0%	14.3%	11.8%	100.0%
		% within Q8 Marital Status	39.2%	32.4%	50.5%	39.1%
		% of Total	28.9%	5.6%	4.6%	39.1%
	Another candidate	Count	49	14	3	66
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	74.2%	21.2%	4.5%	100.0%
		% within Q8 Marital Status	6.4%	7.8%	3.2%	6.3%
		% of Total	4.7%	1.3%	.3%	6.3%
Total		Count	768	179	95	1042
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	73.7%	17.2%	9.1%	100.0%
		% within Q8 Marital Status	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	73.7%	17.2%	9.1%	100.0%


Q8 Marital Status
Married
Seaprated/divorced/widowed
Never married
Percent
60.0%
50.0%
40.0%
30.0%
20.0%
10.0%
0.0%
Bill Morrow
Howard Kaloogian
Alan Uke
Eric Roach
Brian Bilbray
Francine Busby
Another candidate
Q10 Candidate Preference

Q9 Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than $40,000	178	17.1	17.1	17.1
	$40,001-80,000	329	31.6	31.6	48.7
	$80,001-120,000	294	28.2	28.2	76.9
	$120,001 or more	241	23.1	23.1	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q10 Candidate Preference * Q9 Income Crosstabulation

			Q9 Income				
			Less than $40,000	$40,001-80,000	$80,001-120,000	$120,001 or more	Total
Q10 Candidate Preference	Bill Morrow	Count	16	27	13	15	71
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	22.5%	38.0%	18.3%	21.1%	100.0%
		% within Q9 Income	9.0%	8.2%	4.4%	6.2%	6.8%
		% of Total	1.5%	2.6%	1.2%	1.4%	6.8%
	Howard Kaloogian	Count	16	41	22	20	99
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	16.2%	41.4%	22.2%	20.2%	100.0%
		% within Q9 Income	9.0%	12.5%	7.5%	8.3%	9.5%
		% of Total	1.5%	3.9%	2.1%	1.9%	9.5%
	Alan Uke	Count	12	7	18	8	45
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	26.7%	15.6%	40.0%	17.8%	100.0%
		% within Q9 Income	6.7%	2.1%	6.1%	3.3%	4.3%
		% of Total	1.2%	.7%	1.7%	.8%	4.3%
	Eric Roach	Count	33	54	53	43	183
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	18.0%	29.5%	29.0%	23.5%	100.0%
		% within Q9 Income	18.5%	16.4%	18.0%	17.8%	17.6%
		% of Total	3.2%	5.2%	5.1%	4.1%	17.6%
	Brian Bilbray	Count	35	58	48	30	171
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	20.5%	33.9%	28.1%	17.5%	100.0%
		% within Q9 Income	19.7%	17.6%	16.3%	12.4%	16.4%
		% of Total	3.4%	5.6%	4.6%	2.9%	16.4%
	Francine Busby	Count	53	126	120	108	407
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	13.0%	31.0%	29.5%	26.5%	100.0%
		% within Q9 Income	29.8%	38.3%	40.8%	44.8%	39.1%
		% of Total	5.1%	12.1%	11.5%	10.4%	39.1%
	Another candidate	Count	13	16	20	17	66
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	19.7%	24.2%	30.3%	25.8%	100.0%
		% within Q9 Income	7.3%	4.9%	6.8%	7.1%	6.3%
		% of Total	1.2%	1.5%	1.9%	1.6%	6.3%
Total		Count	178	329	294	241	1042
		% within Q10 Candidate Preference	17.1%	31.6%	28.2%	23.1%	100.0%
		% within Q9 Income	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	17.1%	31.6%	28.2%	23.1%	100.0%


Q9 Income
Less than $40,000
$40,001-80,000
$80,001-120,000
$120,001 or more
Percent
50.0%
40.0%
30.0%
20.0%
10.0%
0.0%
Bill Morrow
Howard Kaloogian
Alan Uke
Eric Roach
Brian Bilbray
Francine Busby
Another candidate
Q10 Candidate Preference

Methodology: Findings are based on a April 03-06, 2006, telephone survey of 1042 high-propensity registered voters in the 50th Congressional District. A proprietary algorithm was used to generate random samples of voters for calling. The sampling error for the total sample is +/- 3.03 percent at the 95 percent confidence level. The sampling error for subgroups is larger. Results are weighted to adjust for variations in the sample for age, gender, political affiliation, and race.

Registered voters vs. high-propensity voters: Registered voters who *actually vote* are significantly fewer than the voters who are registered to vote. Although it is sometimes useful to determine the opinions of all registered voters (and even the opinions of the general population), surveying the opinions of *the high-propensity voters* is more efficient and predictive for electoral/political purposes.

This survey is based on defining "high-propensity" voters as those eligible registered voters who have demonstrated high-propensity by having *actually voted* in one or more recent elections, specifically:

> Voted in the 2004 Presidential primary and general elections *and* in the 2002 gubernatorial primary and general elections.

We believe that this performance based definition is superior to other definitions -- for example, labeling as "high-propensity" those registered voters who merely answer "Yes" when asked, "Do you plan to vote in this election?". The interviewer did not even inquire as to respondents' "plan" to vote, which we believe to be a generally less accurate predictor of voting than verified recent voting history.

District Demographics



	REP	DEM	Other	NP
Registered Voters	45.2	29.8	4.4	20.6
High Propensity	53.8	31.8	2.6	11.8
Datamar Survey	54	32.8	3.6	9


Voters By Age Group
45
40
35
30
25
20
15
10
5
0
41.6
33.4
32.6
32
32.6
29
29.3
22.9
20.7
14.8
5.6
5.5
18-29
30-49
50-59
60 +
Registered Voters
14.8
41.6
22.9
20.7
High Propensity
5.6
33.4
32
29
Datamar Survey
5.5
32.6
32.6
29.3

DATAMAR, INC.

April 7, 2006

Survey Toplines

Sample size 1042
+/- 3.03 percent sampling error
April 3-6, 2006
50th Congressional District high-propensity registered voters – voters who voted in the 2002 and 2004 Presidential primary elections

Statistics

		Q1 Registration	Q2 Party Registration	Q3 Gender	Q4 Age	Q5 Education	Q6 Labor	Q7 Ethnic Group	Q8 Marital Status	Q9 Income	Q10 Candidate Preference
N	Valid	1042	1042	1042	1042	1042	1042	1042	1042	1042	1042
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Q1. Are you registered to vote in the County of San Diego?

Q1 Registration

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	1042	100.0	100.0	100.0

Q2. Are you registered as Democrat, Republican, another party, or as "no party registration"?

Q2 Party Registration

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Democrat	342	32.8	32.8	32.8
	Republican	568	54.5	54.5	87.3
	Another party	38	3.6	3.6	91.0
	No party registration	94	9.0	9.0	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q3. Are you?

Q3 Gender

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Male	512	49.1	49.1	49.1
	Female	530	50.9	50.9	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q4. What is your age, please?

Q4 Age

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	18-29	57	5.5	5.5	5.5
	30-49	340	32.6	32.6	38.1
	50-59	340	32.6	32.6	70.7
	60 or older	305	29.3	29.3	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q5. What is the highest level of education you have completed?

Q5 Education

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	High school graduate or less	87	8.3	8.3	8.3
	Some college/trade school	293	28.1	28.1	36.5
	Graduated college or more	662	63.5	63.5	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q6. Are you or is anyone in your household a member of a labor union, such as trade union, service employee union, public employee union, or teachers union?

Q6 Labor

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	215	20.6	20.6	20.6
	No	827	79.4	79.4	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q7. What do you consider to be your ethnic group?

Q7 Ethnic Group

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	White non-hispanic	918	88.1	88.1	88.1
	Hispanic/latino	63	6.0	6.0	94.1
	Black/African-American	8	.8	.8	94.9
	Asian/other	53	5.1	5.1	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q8. What is your marital status?

Q8 Marital Status

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Married	768	73.7	73.7	73.7
	Seaprated/divorced/widowed	179	17.2	17.2	90.9
	Never married	95	9.1	9.1	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

Q9. What is your household income?

Q9 Income

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Less than $40,000	178	17.1	17.1	17.1
	$40,001-80,000	329	31.6	31.6	48.7
	$80,001-120,000	294	28.2	28.2	76.9
	$120,001 or more	241	23.1	23.1	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	

This April 11th there is a special election to finish the term of ex-Congressman Randy "Duke" Cunningham,

If the election were held today, for whom would you vote?

Q10 Candidate Preference

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Bill Morrow	71	6.8	6.8	6.8
	Howard Kaloogian	99	9.5	9.5	16.3
	Alan Uke	45	4.3	4.3	20.6
	Eric Roach	183	17.6	17.6	38.2
	Brian Bilbray	171	16.4	16.4	54.6
	Francine Busby	407	39.1	39.1	93.7
	Another candidate	66	6.3	6.3	100.0
	Total	1042	100.0	100.0	